

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/057,182	01/25/2002		Timothy Alan Scavone	8854	5287
27752	7590	12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
		GAMBLE COMP. OPERTY DIVISION	WANG, SHENGJUN		
		HNICAL CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224				1617	
CINCINNA	11, OH 4	43224	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/057,182	SCAVONE ET AL.
Advisory Aution	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shengjun Wang	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Ci	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	ee NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) will not be entered or b) luld be rejected is provided below	☑ will be entered and an w or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24-26.		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
0. Other:		SHENGJUN WANG BIMARY EXAMINER
		Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1617

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. Particularly, there is no evidence supporting an alleged unexpected result which commensurate the scope of claimed invention.